

Asymptotic Twistor Theory and the Kerr Theorem

PACS: 02.40.Tt, 04.20.-q, 04.20.Ha

Ezra T. Newman

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University
of Pittsburgh, Pgh., PA,15260, USA

Abstract

We first review asymptotic twistor theory with its real subspace of null asymptotic twistors. This is followed by a description of an asymptotic version of the Kerr theorem that produces regular asymptotically shear free null geodesic congruences in arbitrary asymptotically flat Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes.

I. Introduction

This work is concerned with certain geometric structures which naturally exist on Penrose's future null infinity, $\mathfrak{I}^+$, that are associated with any given asymptotically flat Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell space-time. We take $\mathfrak{I}^+$ to be S^2xR and describe the structures in an arbitrary but given Bondi coordinate system, $(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. We further assume that all the functions associated with the real physical space-time are analytic functions that can be extended, at least, a short way into the complexified space-time.

We review how, from solutions to the 'good-cut equation'^{1,2} for any asymptotically flat Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell space-time, one defines the three-complex dimensional asymptotic projective twistor space,^{3,4,5,6} $\mathfrak{T}$, and its restriction to the real five-dimensional subspace of null projective twistors $P\mathfrak{N}$. $P\mathfrak{N}$, which possesses a CR structure, can then be realized as null infinity, $\mathfrak{I}^+$, with the sphere of past null directions, S^2 , attached to each point of $\mathfrak{I}^+$, i.e., as the sphere bundle over $\mathfrak{I}^+$. By choosing an arbitrary holomorphic curve⁷ in the solution space of the good cut equation, i.e., in H-space, an *asymptotically* shear-free null geodesic congruence can be constructed. Using this construction, we show that there is an asymptotic version of the Kerr theorem. This version of the theorem says that a holomorphic surface in $\mathfrak{T}$ that intersects with $P\mathfrak{N}$ produces a *regular asymptotically* shear free null geodesic congruence in a space-time neighborhood of $\mathfrak{I}^+$. By using this version of the Kerr theorem, for each choice of the arbitrary holomorphic curve, a three-dimensional CR structure is induced on $\mathfrak{I}^+$.

This work can be considered as giving a generalization, to arbitrary asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell space-times, of the work of Nurowski and Trautman⁸ on the Robinson-structures that are associated with certain specific space-times. In addition it generalizes ideas of Penrose and Rindler that are associated with flat twistor theory and it gives a concrete description of Penrose's hypersurface twistor version of the Kerr theorem^{9,10} but now applied to $\mathfrak{I}^+$.

II. Asymptotic Twistor Theory

We begin with an asymptotically flat, Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell space-time $\mathfrak{M}$ and its associated future null infinity, $\mathfrak{I}^+$, with Bondi coordinates $(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and a given (complex) Bondi shear

$$\sigma = \sigma(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \tag{1}$$

which we assume is an analytic function in its three arguments. We then consider the complexification of $\mathfrak{I}^+$, to $\mathfrak{CI}^+$, with the three independent complex coordinates $(u, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$ with $\bar{\zeta} \Rightarrow \tilde{\zeta}$, and the analytic extension of the shear to $\sigma = \sigma(u, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$ in a small neighborhood around the real $\mathfrak{I}^+$.

The H-space associated with $\mathfrak{M}$ is the four complex dimensional solution space (with local coordinates z^a) to the so-called 'good-cut equation',^{1,2}

$$\bar{\delta}^2 Z = \bar{\sigma}(Z, \tilde{\zeta}, \zeta). \quad (2)$$

The solutions, which can be written as

$$u = Z(z^a, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta}), \quad (3)$$

define, for each fixed value of z^a , a four parameter set of complex surfaces in $\mathfrak{CT}^+$. For the moment, rather than considering the solutions as surfaces in $\mathfrak{CT}^+$, we consider them at a *fixed value* of $\zeta = \zeta_0$ and then interpret them as complex curves in the complex $(u, \tilde{\zeta})$ space that satisfy the second order differential equation, Eq.(2). The solutions are defined by two initial conditions, the value of Z and its first $\tilde{\zeta}$ derivative at some *arbitrary initial value* of $\tilde{\zeta}$. We take this initial value to be the complex conjugate of the value of ζ_0 , i.e., we take Z and its first $\tilde{\zeta}$ derivative at $\tilde{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta}_0$ as the *initial conditions*.

The initial conditions then are written as

$$u_0 = Z(z^a, \zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0) \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{L}_0 = \bar{\delta}Z(z^a, \zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0) = P_0 \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_0} Z(z^a, \zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0) \quad (5)$$

$$P = 1 + \zeta \tilde{\zeta}, \quad P_0 = 1 + \zeta_0 \bar{\zeta}_0 \quad (6)$$

Definition 1 Penrose⁹ defines projective asymptotic twistor space, $P\mathfrak{T}$, (a three complex (six real) dimensional space) as the set of curves in $\mathfrak{CT}^+$ obtained from the triple $(\zeta_0, u_0, \bar{L}_0)$ without the restriction of the initial $\tilde{\zeta}$ being the complex conjugate of ζ_0 . Arbitrary choices of $\tilde{\zeta}$ simply lead to arbitrary choices of coordinates for the description of $P\mathfrak{T}$. We chose this initial value, $\tilde{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta}_0$, for its use in the definition that Penrose gives for null asymptotic twistors.^{9,5}

In order to define null projective twistors we point out that there are a set of dual curves (projective dual asymptotic twistors) defined from solutions of the complex conjugate good cut equation, namely from ${}^2\bar{Z} = \sigma(\bar{Z}, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$, with its own set of initial conditions analogous to Eqs.(4) and (5).

Remark 1 One can convert the projective asymptotic twistors to asymptotic twistors⁵ by introducing a rescaling parameter. We will not discuss this issue since it is not needed here.

Definition 2 A null projective asymptotic twistor is a curve given so that the complex conjugate dual curve (given by complex conjugate initial conditions) has a real value for u_0 at $\tilde{\zeta}_0 = \bar{\zeta}_0$. We thus have, for a null twistor, that $u_0 = \bar{u}_0$ with $\bar{L}_0 = P_0 \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_0} Z(z^a, \zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0)$ at $\tilde{\zeta}_0 = \bar{\zeta}_0$. The space of null projective asymptotic twistors is referred to as $P\mathfrak{N}$. This definition comes from the vanishing of a scalar product⁵ on asymptotic twistor space whose details are also not needed here.

In order to make these definitions of asymptotic twistors and asymptotic dual twistors closer to the usual definitions, we define the asymptotic projective twistor coordinates

$$\mu^0, \mu^1, \zeta \quad (7)$$

from

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= \frac{\mu^0 + \bar{\zeta}_0 \mu^1}{P_0} \\ \bar{L}_0 &= \frac{\mu^1 - \zeta_0 \mu^0}{P_0} \\ \zeta &= \zeta_0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0 &= u_0 - \bar{L}_0 \bar{\zeta}_0 \\ \mu^1 &= \bar{L}_0 + \zeta_0 u_0 \\ \zeta &= \zeta_0 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Remark 2 *The special case of flat space twistors arises when the Bondi shear vanishes, i.e. when the good cut equation is*

$$\bar{\partial}^2 Z = 0 \quad (10)$$

with projective twistor curves given by the solutions, with fixed values (μ^0, μ^1, ζ) ,

$$u = Z = \frac{\mu^0 + \tilde{\zeta} \mu^1}{1 + \zeta \tilde{\zeta}}. \quad (11)$$

For this flat case, note that both μ^0 and μ^1 are independent of $\tilde{\zeta}$, so that we need not require that $\tilde{\zeta}_0 = \bar{\zeta}_0$.

Since in all that follows we will use the restricted initial point, $\tilde{\zeta}_0 = \bar{\zeta}_0$, we can safely drop the use of the subscript index ‘0’ and simply write $\tilde{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta}$. Also since no use will be made of the rescaling of the projective twistors to twistors, we will drop the adjective ‘projective’.

Returning to Eq.(9) and from the definition of null asymptotic twistors, we can define the real five dimensional CR manifold, $P\mathfrak{N}$, (the real subspace of null twistors) in the following fashion. $P\mathfrak{N}$ is explicitly given parametrically in terms of the five real parameters $(u, \text{the real and imaginary parts of } L \text{ and } \zeta)$ by

$$\mu^0 = u - \overline{L}\zeta \quad (12)$$

$$\overline{\mu}^0 = u - L\zeta \quad (13)$$

$$\mu^1 = \overline{L} + \zeta u \quad (14)$$

$$\overline{\mu}^1 = L + \zeta u \quad (15)$$

$$\zeta = x + iy \quad (16)$$

$$\widetilde{\zeta} = \overline{\zeta} = x - iy. \quad (17)$$

A representative element of the equivalence class that defines the CR structure of $P\mathfrak{N}$ is given by the exterior derivatives of Eq.(12),(14) and (16),

$$d\mu^0 = du - \overline{L}d\zeta - \zeta d\overline{L} \quad (18)$$

$$d\mu^1 = d\overline{L} + \zeta du + u d\zeta$$

$$d\zeta = dx + idy$$

which, by manipulation within the equivalence class, can be converted to

$$l = du - \frac{L}{(1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta})}d\zeta - \frac{\overline{L}}{(1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta})}d\overline{\zeta} \quad (19)$$

$$N = \frac{d\overline{L}}{\overline{L}} + \frac{\zeta}{(1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta})}d\overline{\zeta} \quad (20)$$

$$\overline{m} = \frac{d\zeta}{(1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta})}. \quad (21)$$

The form l , is real while N and $\overline{m}$ are complex.

$P\mathfrak{N}$ can thus be realized as the (real) three-dimensional $\mathfrak{J}^+$, $(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, with the two-sphere, $(L, \overline{L})$, of past light-cone directions attached to each point of $\mathfrak{J}^+$, i.e., as the sphere bundle over real $\mathfrak{J}^+$.

III. Generalized Kerr Theorem

A further question arises: Is there a generalization of the Kerr Theorem from flat twistor theory to asymptotic twistor theory. For unity of presentation we first describe, in our language, the flat-space Kerr Theorem⁹ followed by a special case, the *restricted* flat-space Kerr theorem. This restricted class produces regular families of asymptotically shear-free null geodesics..

Theorem 3 *Any analytic function on projective twistor space generates a shear-free null geodesic congruence in Minkowski space, i.e., from $F(\mu^0, \mu^1, \zeta) \equiv F(u - \overline{L}\zeta, \overline{L} + \zeta u, \zeta) = 0$, one can construct a shear-free null geodesic congruence in Minkowski space.*

Geometrically, this relationship defines a function $\bar{L} = \bar{L}(u, \bar{\zeta}, \zeta)$, (a null direction field on $\mathfrak{I}^+$) which when extended into the interior of Minkowski space forms a shear-free null geodesic congruence.

We consider the following special or restricted case of the Kerr theorem for our later generalization. Restricting ourselves to the regular solutions (on real $\mathfrak{I}^+$) of the flat-space good cut equation, Eq.(10), which are obtained by the restriction of the (μ^0, μ^1) in (11) to be linear functions of ζ , (See remark 2), we obtain the four complex parameter solution Eq.(10)

$$u = \frac{\mu^0 + \zeta\mu^1}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{\alpha + \beta\bar{\zeta} + \bar{\beta}\zeta + \gamma\zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} = z^a l_a \quad (22)$$

$$\bar{L} = \frac{\mu^1 - \zeta\mu^0}{P} = z^a \bar{m}_a \quad (23)$$

$$l_a \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(1, -\frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, i\frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{1 - \zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) \quad (24)$$

$$\bar{m}_a \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(0, \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{i(1 + \zeta^2)}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{2\zeta}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) \quad (25)$$

We now chose the four parameters $z^a \Leftrightarrow (\alpha, \beta, \bar{\beta}, \gamma)$ to be arbitrary complex analytic functions of a ‘complex-time’ parameter, τ , i.e.,

$$z^a = \xi^a(\tau), \quad (26)$$

From this it follows that both

$$\mu^0(\tau, \zeta) = u - \bar{L}\bar{\zeta} \quad (27)$$

$$\mu^1(\tau, \zeta) = \bar{L} + \zeta u \quad (28)$$

are functions *only* of (τ, ζ) so that by eliminating τ between them, we define, *implicitly*, a complex analytic function $F(\mu^0, \mu^1, \zeta) = 0$. We refer to this class of functions as yielding the restricted Kerr theorem. We thus have

Corollary 4 *Every complex analytic curve, $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, on the four-complex dimensional parameter space of solutions to the flat good-cut equation, Eq.(10), determines a shear-free null geodesic congruence in Minkowski space. This is accomplished by the elimination of τ between the two equations $u = \xi^a(\tau)l_a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and $\bar{L} = \xi^a(\tau)\bar{m}_a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. Note that these relations have a simple geometric meaning: for each point on $\mathfrak{I}^+$, i.e., at $(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, there is a direction on its past light-cone given by $L(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ that yield the initial conditions for a null geodesic going backwards into the flat space-time producing a shear-free null geodesic congruence.*

The generalization of this result to asymptotic twistor theory is simple. It is known⁷ that complex analytic curves on the solution space to the good-cut equation,

i.e., on H-Space, determine *asymptotically shear free null geodesic congruences* in the neighborhood of $\mathfrak{I}^+$ of asymptotically flat space-times. In other words, given a Bondi shear and the solution

$$u = Z(z^a, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \quad (29)$$

to the good-cut equation, with the arbitrary choice of the complex world-line $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, the pair

$$u = Z(\xi^a(\tau), \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \equiv X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \quad (30)$$

$$\bar{L} = \bar{\partial}Z(\xi^a(\tau), \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \equiv \bar{L}(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (31)$$

or equivalently from

$$\mu^0(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = u - \bar{L}\bar{\zeta} \quad (32)$$

$$\mu^1(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \bar{L} + \zeta u, \quad (33)$$

determines an asymptotically shear free null geodesic congruence.

Theorem 5 *The single function, defined implicitly by the elimination of τ from this pair, (the generalized restricted Kerr theorem) is the analogue of the restricted Kerr theorem but now it determines asymptotically shear-free congruences.*

Again, there is the same simple geometric meaning for this pair as in the Corollary.

Remark 3 *In Penrose and Rindler, Volume II,⁹ there is a rather abstract discussion of hypersurface twistors and a generalized version of the Kerr theorem to that situation. They remark that their version can be extended to $\mathfrak{I}^+$. It appears almost certain that our version is a restriction or subset of theirs when applied to $\mathfrak{I}^+$.*

IV. $\mathfrak{I}^+$ as a three-dimensional CR manifold

We have seen that given an arbitrary asymptotically flat Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell space-time, we can define both a projective asymptotic twistor space and its dual space. From this pair a scalar product can be defined which allows the restriction to the five dimensional *subspace* of projective twistors with a vanishing scalar product, i.e., to the null twistor space $P\mathfrak{N}$. Further we saw that this restriction allows a CR structure to be defined on $P\mathfrak{N}$ which we can identify with the sphere bundle over $\mathfrak{I}^+$ with the local ‘real’ coordinates $(u, L, \bar{L}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$.

By choosing a curve, $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$ in H-Space, our version of the Kerr theorem allows us, by choosing a cross-section of the sphere-bundle, to map the five dimensional CR manifold $P\mathfrak{N}$ into $\mathfrak{I}^+$. From this, $\mathfrak{I}^+$ inherits three-dimensional CR structures that are associated with each choice of H-Space curve. To see this all we must do

is choose $\bar{L}$ as the function on $\mathfrak{I}^+$ given by the pair, Eqs.(30) and (31), with the τ eliminated. This yields, in principle,

$$\bar{L} = \bar{L}^*(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \quad (34)$$

with

$$d\bar{L} = \partial_u \bar{L}^* du + \partial_\zeta \bar{L}^* d\zeta + \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{L}^* d\bar{\zeta}.$$

The CR structure of $P\mathfrak{N}$, i.e., Eqs.(19),(20) and (21), reduces to

$$l = du - \frac{L}{(1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta})} d\zeta - \frac{\bar{L}}{(1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta})} d\bar{\zeta} \quad (35)$$

$$\bar{m} = \frac{d\zeta}{(1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta})} \quad (36)$$

with $(L, \bar{L})$ given by (34). The third one-form, N , now is a combination of l and $\bar{m}$. For each choice of the curve, $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, we have different CR structure on $\mathfrak{I}^+$.

In addition, the complex parameter τ has the meaning of a CR function and in fact the pair, (τ, ζ) , form the local $\mathbf{C}^2$ whose real three-dimensional subspace is $\mathfrak{I}^+$. We thus have that $\mathfrak{I}^+$ is a locally embeddable CR manifold. The parametric form of the mapping is given by $(\tau, \zeta) = (T(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), x + iy)$ with $T(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ given by the inversion of the function Eq.(30), i.e., from $u = X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. By taking the exterior derivatives of (τ, ζ) one can easily reconstruct the forms (35)-(36). {See Newman and Nurowski¹¹ for details.}

Remark 4 *Strictly speaking, for the complex equation, $\tau = T(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, to make sense, it should first be defined on $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{I}^+$ and then restricted to $\mathfrak{I}^+$*

Recently^{7,12,13,14} it was shown, for all asymptotically flat Einstein space-times, that there is a canonical choice of the H-space curve and hence a unique CR structure on $\mathfrak{I}^+$. The uniqueness arises from certain properties of the Weyl tensor. For asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell space-times there are two canonical choices for the curve and the CR structure. One choice comes from the Weyl tensor's properties, the other from those of the Maxwell tensor. These unique curves appear to have a considerable physical meaning^{13,14} but a discussion here would be too far afield.

V. The Einstein Equations on $P\mathfrak{N}$.

Though it would be nicer if we could write the asymptotic Einstein equations, (i.e., the asymptotic Bianchi Identities) as equations solely involving the variables defining $P\mathfrak{N}$, i.e., $(u, \bar{L}, \zeta)$, at least in the case of asymptotically flat algebraically special vacuum metrics, we can come close to doing so. Aside from the $P\mathfrak{N}$ variables,

introducing only the ‘Bondi mass aspect’, $\Psi(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, which is real, we can write the asymptotically flat algebraically special vacuum Einstein equations^{14,15} in the following fashion:

First defining the Bondi asymptotic shear in terms of $(\bar{L}, L)$ by,

$$\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}[\bar{L}] \equiv \bar{\delta}(\bar{L}) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{L}^2)_{,u} \quad (37)$$

the full Einstein equations are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Psi &= \delta[\bar{\sigma}_{,u}\sigma] + \delta^3\bar{\sigma} + 2\sigma\delta(\bar{\sigma}_{,u}) + 3L\delta^2(\bar{\sigma}_{,u}) + L^3\bar{\sigma}_{,uuu} + 3L\sigma\bar{\sigma}_{,uu} + 3L^2\delta(\bar{\sigma}_{,u}) \\ &\quad - 3L_{,u}[\Psi - 2L\delta(\bar{\sigma}_{,u}) - L^2\bar{\sigma}_{,uu} - \delta^2\bar{\sigma} - \sigma\bar{\sigma}_{,u}], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\Psi_{,u} = \sigma_{,u}\bar{\sigma}_{,u}. \quad (39)$$

For the case of the general asymptotically flat Einstein equations, there is a generalization of the above but the role of the Bondi mass aspect has to be considerably generalized to include several other functions that are given as initial conditions with their evolution determined by the $P\mathfrak{N}$ variables and the attractiveness is lost.

VI. Conclusion

The work described here can be considered as generalizing to arbitrary asymptotically flat Einstein or Einstein-Maxwell space-times the work done by many authors^{16,17,18,19,20,8,9,3,4} on structures that exist on or are associated with *special space-times*, specifically, Minkowski space and the algebraically special space-times. These structures, which included twistor and projective twistor spaces with several of their three and five dimensional real subspaces which come with CR structures, show the rich mathematical structures contained in large families of solutions to the Einstein equations. It also underlines the deep connection that shear-free and asymptotically shear-free null geodesic congruences play in these structures and in the very basic structure of the Einstein equations. Though it is not at all clear what are the physical meanings and consequences of the existence of these structures, there have been strong suggestions of possible physical significance^{7,12,13,14} that must be further explored.

VII. Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work (partially) supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0244513. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science. E.T.N. thanks the NSF for this support. He also thanks both Roger Penrose and Pawel Nurowski for certain research suggestions and Jerzy Lewandowski for great help in understanding CR structures.

VIII. Research Bibliography

- ¹R. Hansen, E.T. Newman, R. Penrose and K. Tod, Proc. R. Soc. London A, **363**, 445 (1978).
- ²M. Ko, M. Ludvigsen, E. Newman and K. Tod, Phys. Reports **71**, 51-139 (1981).
- ³R. Penrose and M.A.H. MacCallum, Phys.Repts. C-6 No.4 (1973).
- ⁴R. Penrose, "Twistor Theory, its Aims and Achievements", in *Quantum Gravity*, edited by C. J. Isham, R. Penrose, and D. Sciama, Oxford U.P. , Oxford, (1975).
- ⁵E.T. Newman and R. Penrose, J. Math.Phys., **18**, 58, 1977.
- ⁶R. Hansen, E.T. Newman, R. Penrose and K.P. Tod, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A363, (1978) 445.
- ⁷C. Kozameh and E.T. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** (2005) 4659-4665.
- ⁸P. Nurowski and A. Trautman, Differential Geometry and its Applications, Vol. 17 (2-3) (2002) pp. 175-195.
- ⁹R. Penrose and W. Rindler
- ¹⁰R. Penrose, Private Communication
- ¹¹E.T. Newman and P. Nurowski,
- ¹²C. Kozameh and E.T. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** (2005) 4667-4678.
- ¹³C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** (2005) 4679-4698.
- ¹⁴E.T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, "Twisting null geodesic congruences and the Einstein-Maxwell equations", Class. Quantum Grav., accepted for publication, #CQG/208713/PAP.
- ¹⁵E.T. Newman and K.P. Tod, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, Vol 2, Alan Held, (ed.), Plenum (1980).
- ¹⁶A. Trautman, Journ.Geom. Phys. **1**, 85-95 (1984).
- ¹⁷J. Tafel, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 33-39.
- ¹⁸J.Lewandowski, P.Nurowski, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, (1990) 309-328.
- ¹⁹J. Lewandowski, P. Nurowski and J. Tafel, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, (1990), (L241-246).
- ²⁰J.Lewandowski, P.Nurowski, J. Tafel , Class. Quantum. Grav. **8**, (1991) 493-501.